

2024 (G24) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Imperial County Sheriff's Office's Factual Findings

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the [Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations webpage](https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747)
https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747

Education and Safety: G24-03-09-S01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- Relation of Proposed Project to OHV Recreation – 4970.13(f)(3) – The Applicant defines "Patrol" as a law enforcement project activity, which is not eligible for this Project. Therefore, the Applicant must remove all patrol-related language and associated costs from the Application.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff #1 "Sergeant" – The Applicant's justification for the increase in "Quantity" (QTY) compared to the prior year's Application is not applicable as the reasoning provided relates to the hourly "Rate" increase that was not adjusted compared to the prior year's Application. Allow a 15% increase from the prior year's Application QTY total of 51. The revised QTY for the line item is 59. Deduct \$10,818 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0 and match \$2,649.
- Staff #2 "Deputy" – The Applicant's justification for the increase in "Quantity" (QTY) compared to the prior year's Application is not applicable as the reasoning

provided relates to the hourly "Rate" increase that was not adjusted compared to the prior year's Application. Return QTY to the prior year's Application QTY total of 85. Deduct \$7,448 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0 and match \$2,944.

- Staff #3 "Deputy" – The Applicant's justification for the increase in "Quantity" (QTY) compared to the prior year's Application is not applicable as the reasoning provided relates to the hourly "Rate" increase that was not adjusted compared to the prior year's Application. The Applicant added this line in the G24 Application, whereas in the prior year's Application, multiple deputies were listed in one line item. Allow a 15% increase from the prior year's Staff #2 "Deputies" Application QTY total of 85. The revised QTY for the line item is 13 (this QTY is only the 15% increase from the prior year's line item #2 QTY. G24 Staff #2 "Deputy" already has a QTY of 85). Deduct \$9,942 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0 and match \$450.
- Equipment Purchases #1 "Polaris RZR Pro R Ultimate" – The Applicant did not provide adequate justification for the type of UTV selected, given that a less powerful UTV can accomplish the Project deliverables. The Applicant must reduce the line item by 50%. Deduct \$29,750 from Grant and \$500 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$30,250 and match \$0.
- Indirect Costs #1 "Sand Tires and wheel package for RZR Pro" – The Applicant has now exceeded the 15% maximum allowable for Indirect. Deduct \$13 from Grant. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$4,987 and match \$0.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: \$38,237

Match: \$22,603

Total Project Cost: \$60,840

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – The Applicant has been out of Good Standing with the Division within the last two complete calendar years prior to the current Grant cycle. Deduct 10 points.
- #3 – The Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.
- #5 – The Project Description section does not support the selections. The Applicant did not provide additional information on how each vehicle type will be addressed in this Project in the Project Description section. Deduct 4 points.
- #6 – The narrative does not support the selection. The publicly noticed meeting, held either in-person or virtual with the general public occurred after the preliminary Application filing date of March 4, 2024, making it ineligible. Deduct 1 point.
- #15 – The narrative does not support the selection. The Applicant did not confirm the data for OHV search and rescue operations and providing medical aid to OHV operators occurred during the prior calendar year. Although additional information was provided, the Applicant did not specify the 2023–2024 season date range to ensure the data fell within the prior calendar year. Deduct 8 points.

Education and Safety: G24-03-09-S02

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- # 1 – The Applicant must provide the number of classroom presentations they anticipate conducting.

Project Description – All Others

- OHV Safety, Environmental Responsibility, and Respect Private Property – 4970.13(f)(6) (Education Projects ONLY) – While the Applicant updated the narratives, the Applicant described Project activities related to Law Enforcement Project. The Applicant must clarify how this Project is providing respect for private property.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff #1 "Sergeant" – "Manages the grant by ensuring the grant..." is considered indirect activities as they do not directly relate to the completion of the Project. The Applicant listed four (4) Project activities for this line item. Removing one (1) item reduces the line-item total by 25%. Applicant must deduct \$2,192 from Grant and \$770 from match (could not move the cost to the Indirect Costs category as Project is over the allowable 15% maximum indirect amount). The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$8,359 and match \$530.
- Staff #2 "Deputy" – The Applicant's justification for the increase in "Quantity" (QTY) compared to the prior year's Application is not applicable as the reasoning provided relates to the hourly "Rate" increase that was not adjusted compared to the prior year's Application. Allow a 15% increase from the prior year's Application QTY total of 168. The revised QTY for the line item is 193. Deduct \$9,004 from Grant and \$2,600 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$6,686 and match \$0.
- Indirect Costs #1 "Pop-Up Canopy/Tent" – The Applicant has now exceeded the 15% maximum allowable for Indirect. Deduct \$1,664 from the Grant. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$936 and match \$0.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: \$21,556

Match: \$9,027
Total Project Cost: \$30,583.

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – The Applicant has not been in Good Standing with the Division within the past two complete calendar years before the current Grant cycle. Deduct 10 points.
- #3 – The Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.
- #4 – The narrative does not support the selection. The Applicant did not provide additional information on how the "Imperial County Office of Education" and "California Mid–Winter Fair and Fiesta Board" will actively participate towards the completion of the Project. The Applicant states, "ICOE staff/educators are present with the students to provide assistance, encouragement, and support when needed", which implies they may not actively participate in the Project and the information provided for "California Mid–Winter Fair and Fiesta Board" does not make them an active participant towards the completion of the Project. Deduct 2 points.
- #6 – The narrative does not support the selection. The publicly noticed meeting, held either in–person or virtual, with the general public occurred after the preliminary Application filing date of March 4, 2024, making it ineligible. Deduct 1 point.
- #7 – The narrative does not support the selections of "Process of researching issues and audience", "Objectives and outcomes" and "Evaluation and feedback received from Project participant". The Applicant did not provide identifiable and/or measurable elements to substantiate the selection. Deduct 6 points.
- #10 – The narrative does not support the selection. Data must align with the information provided in evaluation criteria #8 and correlate with the response provided in the narrative of evaluation criteria #9. Deduct 2 points.

Law Enforcement: G24-03-09-L01

Needs Assessment

- No change.

Project Certification

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Equipment Use Expense – The Applicant is reminded that only actual fuel costs are allowed, not mileage. Additionally, if the Applicant is charging a daily use rate for a vehicle, the Applicant must revise line items to reflect the vehicle, verify that it was not purchased with Grant funds, and show the cost.